12th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society Symposium:Cognitive Aspects of Linguistic Theory MIT July 23, 1990

> Anaphora and the Modularity of Language Howard Lasnik University of Connecticut

- (1) *John, thinks Mary likes John,
- (2) **He, thinks Mary likes John,
- (4) What do you mean noone thinks Mary likes John? ??<u>John</u>, thinks Mary likes John;
- (5) What do you mean noone thinks Mary likes John? ?*<u>He</u>, thinks Mary likes John,
- (6)a You interviewed Harry and who
 b **Who did you interview Harry and
- (7)a Susan wonders whether Joan wrote which bookb ?*Which book does Susan wonder whether Joan wrote
- (8)a Avoid redundancy. Don't repeat 'full' NPs. b Avoid unclarity. Don't use a pronoun before its antecedent.
- (9) ?John, walked in. Then Mary spoke to John,.
- (10) ?After John, arrived, Mary spoke to John,
- (11) ?He, walked in. Then Mary spoke to John,.
- (12) After he, arrived, Mary spoke to John,
- (13) ?John's, mother fequently praises John,
- (14) His, mother frequently praises John,

(15) Reinhart (1986)

а

- Speaker's strategy: When a syntactic structure you are using allows bound-anaphora interpretation, then use it if you intend your expressions to corefer, unless you have some reasons to avoid bound-anaphora.
- b Hearer's strategy: If the speaker avoids bound anaphora options provided by the structure he is using, then, unless he has reasons to avoid bound anaphora, he didn't intend his expressions to corefer.
- (16) *John, thinks Mary likes the lucky guy,

- (17) After John, arrived, Mary praised the lucky guy;
- (18) Charlie Brown talks to his dog and my neighbor Max does too
- (19) Speaker 1: Charlie Brown talks to his dog Speaker 2: My neighbor Max does too
- (20) Chomsky's (1981) "Condition C": An R-expression must be free. [An R-expression is a non-pronominal NP. 'Free' means not bound. X binds Y if X c-commands Y and X and Y are coindexed.]
- (21) If two NPs have the same index, then they are coreferential. If two NPs have distinct indices, then they are non-coreferential/disjoint in reference.
- (22) They told Mary that John should leave
- (23) John told Mary that they should leave
- (24) Lasnik's (1976) "Non-coreference Rule" (slightly revised): If one NP c-commands another and the latter is not a pronoun, then the two NPs are non-coreferential/ disjoint in reference.
- (25) Higginbotham's (1985) "Obviativity Condition": An Rexpression is obviative (where obviativity universally applies only with respect to c-commanding potential antecedents).
- (26) c**)**n khit waa c⁾n chalaat [Thai] John thinks that John is smart
- (27) *kháw khít waa c), chàlaàt he thinks that John is smart
- (28) An R-expression is pronoun free.
- (29) c**)**, khit waa ?aybaa, chalaat John thinks that the nut is smart
- (30) *?aybaa, khit waa c??n chalaat [Thai] the nut thinks that John is smart
- (31) khảw khit waa ?aybaa chalaat he thinks that the nut is smart
- (32) name > epithet > pronoun
- (33) A less referential expression may not bind a more referential one. [Universal?]

(34)	An R-expression must be A-free. [Parameterized]
(35)	There, is a man, in the room
(36)a	There is a man in the room b * are
(37)a ¢	There are men in the room * is
(38)	A man is <u>t</u> in the room
(39)a b	*He, likes [everyone that John, knows] [Everyone that John, knows] [he, likes <u>t</u>]
(40)	*He _. likes the philosopher that John _. knows
(41)	[Who that John knows] [does he like <u>t</u>]
(42)	*Who thinks [he _i likes [who that John _i knows]]

References

Chomsky, N. (1981) Lectures on Governm nt and Binding, Foris. Higginbotham, J. (1985) "On Semantics" Linguistic Inquiry 16,4. Lasnik, H. (1976) "Remarks on Coreference" Linguistic Analysis 2,1. [Reprinted in Lasnik (1989)] Lasnik, H. (1989) Essays on Anaphora, Kluwer. Reinhart, T. (1986) "Center and Periphery in the Grammar of Anaphora" in B. Lust (ed.) Studies in the Acquisition of Anaphora, Volume I, Reidel. Evans, G. (1980) "fromouns" Linguistic Inquiry ", 2.

3